1	ROB BONTA				
2	Attorney General of California MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE M. KENNEDY				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 332873				
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101				
	P.O. Box 85266				
6 7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9100 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9	BEFOR	E THE			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
11	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION				
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13					
14	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1006630			
15	CALIFORNIA BEAUTY ACADEMY				
16	School Code: 3003191	STATEMENT OF ISSUES			
17	Respondent.				
18					
19					
20	<u>PARTIES</u>				
21	Deborah Cochrone (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official				
22	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of				
23	Consumer Affairs.				
24	2. On or about June 26, 2020, the Bureau received an Application for Significant				
25	Change in Method of Instructional Delivery from New American Beauty Corp., Than Mai Thi				
26	Tran (70%), and Hien Vinh Dang (30%), owners of California Beauty Academy (Respondent).				
27	On or about June 20, 2020, Thanh Mai Thi Tran certified under penalty of perjury to the				
28					
	1				

1	truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Bureau denied			
2	the application on November 9, 2020.			
3	JURISDICTION			
4	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of			
5	Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All			
6	section references are to the Education Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.			
7	STATUTORY PROVISIONS			
8	4. Section 94893 of the Code states:			
9 10	If an institution intends to make a substantive change to its approval to operate, the institution shall receive prior authorization from the bureau. Except as provided in subdivision (a) of Section 94896, if the institution makes the substantive change without prior bureau authorization, the institution's approval to operate may be			
11 12	suspended or revoked. 5. Section 94932 of the Code states:			
	The bureau shall determine an institution's compliance with the requirements of			
13 14	this chapter. The bureau shall have the power to require reports that institution's shall file with the bureau in addition to the annual report, to send staff to an institution's sites, and to require documents and responses from an institution to monitor			
151617	compliance. When the bureau has reason to believe that an institution may be out of compliance, it shall conduct an investigation of the institution. If the bureau determines, after completing an investigation, that an institution has violated any applicable law or regulation, the bureau shall take appropriate action pursuant to this article.			
18	REGULATORY PROVISIONS			
19	6. Title 5, CCR, section 71230 states:			
20	If the institution offers an educational program, or a portion of it, in a language other than English, the Form Application 94886 shall contain a description of all of			
21	the following for each educational program or portion thereof.			
22	(a) The language in which each educational program will be offered.			
23	(b) A statement that the institution has contracted with sufficient duly qualified faculty who will teach each language group of students.			
24	(c) The language of the textbooks and other written materials to be used by each			
25	language group of students.			
26	7. Title 5, CCR, section 71400.5 states in part:			
27	(a) The inclusion of false or misleading information, or the intentional or negligent omission of pertinent information on any application may result in the			
28	denial of the application or a delay in processing, and may be grounds for action			

1	I			
1		pursuant to Article 18 of the Act		
2	///			
3		8.	Γitle 5, CCR, section 71600 states in part:	
4				
5			(b) The application shall establish that the institution, if making a significant	
6		change in its method of instructional delivery, can meet the minimum operations standards contained in Chapter 3, and shall include:		
7			••	
8			2) A description of the proposed new method of instructional delivery, and	
9	how the curriculum will be changed or adapted to meet the change in delivery m		e curriculum will be changed or adapted to meet the change in delivery method	
10		•	••	
11			4) A description of how the change affects students, administration, and the tion's financial resources	
12		9.	Γitle 5, CCR, section 71655 states in part:	
13			••	
14 15			(b) An incomplete application filed under this Article will render it ineligible deessing, or subject to denial.	
			••	
16 17			d) In addition to the grounds stated in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, reau may deny an application on the following grounds:	
18			1) failure to establish that the proposed change will meet the institutional	
19	operating standards set forth in Chapter 3 of this Division		ing standards set forth in Chapter 3 of this Division	
20		•	••	
21		(e) An applicant denied an approval for a substantive change to its approval to operate under this Article, may reapply or may request an informal hearing before the		
22		Directo		
23		10. T	Fitle 5, CCR, section 71715 states in part:	
24			d) Distance education as defined in section 94834 of the Code, does not the physical presence of students and faculty at the same location but provides	
25	for interaction between students and faculty by such means as telecommunication, correspondence, electronic and computer augmented educational services, postal service, and facsimile transmission. In addition to the other requirements of this		eraction between students and faculty by such means as telecommunication, bondence, electronic and computer augmented educational services, postal	
26				
27		-		
28		(2) assess each student, prior to admission, in order to determine whether each	
		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

numerous programs not offered by Respondent, and it pertains only to the institution that initially submitted the material.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Demonstrate Ability to Comply with Minimum Operating Standards – Method of Delivery)

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under title 5, CCR sections 71600(b)(2), 71655(d)(1), and 71715(d)(2) and (d)(3) in that Respondent failed to demonstrate that its proposed changes meet the minimum operational standards required for distance learning.
- a. Respondent failed to demonstrate that its programs and materials are delivered using readily available, reliable technology by failing to allow the Bureau access to its on-line delivery platforms, subjecting its application to denial under title 5, CCR section 71655, subdivision (d)(1), and title 5, CCR section 71715, subdivision (d)(3). Respondent's application states that the "Platforms use[d] to teach theoretical and practical class" include "Zoom, Milady MindTap, Facebook, [and] Instagram." The application additionally references use of Google Classroom for attendance, chapter reviews, and Zoom links. Because Respondent did not provide the Bureau with access to its platforms, the Bureau was unable to assess the platform and ensure whether the materials and programs are current, well organized, designed by faculty competent in distance education techniques and delivered using readily available, reliable technology.
- b. Respondent failed to adequately describe the proposed new method of instructional delivery in that the application documents differ with regard to how much of the institution's programs will be offered online, subjecting its application to denial under title 5, CCR section 71655, subdivision (d)(1), and title 5, CCR, section 71600, subdivision (b)(2). Respondent's application states on one of the Enrollment Agreement Addenda that no more than 335 hours can be taken online for Barbering (a 1400-hour program) and Cosmetology (a 1600-hour program). However, under the "Students: Support Services" section, Respondent states: "The maximum online instruction will be 50% of the program." Additionally, under the "Submit copies of distance education Syllabus/Requirements of student" section, Respondent states: "Once the State directive has lifted a student can only acquire a maximum 50% using online platforms."

- c. Respondent failed to provide sufficient facilities and necessary equipment to support the achievement of the educational objectives of all of the courses and educational programs in which students are enrolled, subjecting its application to denial under Code section 94932; title 5 CCR, section 71600, subdivision (b)(4). and title 5 CCR, section 71735, subdivision (a). Respondent's Distance Education Enrollment Agreement Addenda required students to confirm: "I must supply my own electronic device that will allow me to participate" in distance education instruction. However, under the section "Describe accessibility," the application states, "If students don't have access to a smartphone, laptop, tablet or computer, the school will offer a device for the student to use at the institution." Additionally, Respondent failed to explain how the change to distance education will affect students, administration, and the institution's financial resources. The application does not consistently describe the effect the proposed change will have on students, as it is unclear whether the necessary equipment will be supplied, and if so, how Respondent will supply it.
- d. Respondent failed to assess whether each student has the skills and competencies to succeed in a distance education environment, subjecting its application to denial under Code section 94932 and title 5 CCR, section 71715, subdivision (d)(2). Under the application's section "Explain how you assess each student, prior to admission, in order to determine whether each student has the skills and competencies to succeed in a distance education environment," the institution describes what students are informed of and options they will be provided, but no assessment of skills and competencies is described.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Demonstrate Ability to Comply with Minimum Operating Standards – Curriculum)

16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under title 5, CCR sections 71600(b)(2), 71655(d)(1), and 71715(d)(2) and (d)(3) in that Respondent failed to demonstrate that its proposed changes meet the minimum operational standards required for the institution's curriculum.

24 25

26

27 28

- Respondent failed to adequately describe how the curriculum will be changed or a. adapted to meet the change in delivery method, subjecting its application to denial under title 5, CCR section 71600, subdivision (b)(2). Respondent's application describes bi-weekly chapter exams that the "student must come to school to take." Additionally, the application states: "All assessments that will be used for calculating a student's GPA must be completed while the student is physically on campus." However, under "examples of assessments," it states, "The instructor will then share their computer screen with the class. The students will then take the test. Students will submit their answers through Google classroom."
- Respondent failed to attach course outlines or samples of its curriculum for review, b. and thus did not adequately demonstrate how its curriculum will be changed or adapted to meet the change in delivery method, subjecting its application to denial under title 5, CCR section 71600, subdivision (b)(2). Under the section "Submit sequential and detailed outline of subject matter to be addressed or a list of skills to be learned and how those skills are to be measured," the application states: "Attached are the Course outlines." However, no course outlines were attached. Additionally, under the prompt "Explain how you ensure that the educational program offered through distance education is appropriate for delivery through distance education methods," it states, "using the CIB state board practice exams – Attached," but no practice exams were attached.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Demonstrate Ability to Comply with Minimum Operating Standards – **Faculty Competency Regarding Distance Education)**

Respondent's application is subject to denial under title 5, CCR section 71715(d)(3), in that Respondent failed to demonstrate that it has duly qualified faculty to teach through distance education.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Obtain Prior Approval)

18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 94893, as defined by title 5 CCR section 71230, in that it failed to obtain prior approval before offering instruction in

1	another language. Under the "Students: Support Services" section of Respondent's application,				
2	the application states: "Whenever online instruction will take place, there will be online				
3	orientation for each program in the language of instruction." This statement implied that				
4	orientations will be offered in more than one language; however, the institution only has approval				
5	for English language instruction.				
6	PRAYER				
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,				
8	and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a				
9	decision:				
10	1. Denying California Beauty Academy's Application for Significant Change in Metho	ЭĊ			
11	of Instructional Delivery; and,				
12	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
13	DATED: <u>"6/29/2021"</u> <u>"Original signature on file"</u>				
14	DEBORAH COCHRANE Chief				
15	Bureau for Private Postsecondary				
16	Education Department of Consumer Affairs				
17	State of California Complainant				
18					
19	SD2021800269 82738201.docx				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	II				