1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9435 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR DEPARTMENT OF CO	ONSUMER AFFAIRS POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION			
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1000873			
13	PADMA CORPORATION	OAH Case No. 2017120064			
14	DBA ROSSTON SCHOOL OF HAIR DESIGN	,			
15	1442 W. Holt Avenue Ontario, CA 91761	SECOND AMENDED			
.16	Institution Code: 3600371	ACCUSATION			
17	Respondent.				
18					
19	Complainant alleges:				
20	PAR	<u>ries</u>			
21	1. Dr. Michael Marion, Jr. (Complainan	t) brings this Second Amended Accusation			
22	solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the F	Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education,			
23	Department of Consumer Affairs.				
24	2. On or about June 1, 1981, the Bureau	for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau)			
25	issued an approval to Padma Corporation dba Ros	sston School of Hair Design (Respondent) to			
26	operate the following programs: Barber Course, Barber Crossover Course, and Barber Instructor				
27	Training.	,			
28	///	1			
	(PADMA CORPORATION DBA ROSST	ON SCHOOL OF HAIR DESIGN) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION CASE NO. 1000873			

1	Respondent's approval to operate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
2	brought herein and will expire on November 26, 2019, unless renewed.
3	JURISDICTION
4	3. This Second Amended Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department
5	of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All
. 6	section references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.
7	4. Section 94932 provides:
8 9 10 11 12	The bureau shall determine an institution's compliance with the requirements of this chapter. The bureau shall have the power to require reports that institutions shall file with the bureau in addition to the annual report, to send staff to an institution's sites, and to require documents and responses from an institution to monitor compliance. When the bureau has reason to believe that an institution may be out of compliance, it shall conduct an investigation of the institution. If the bureau determines, after completing a compliance inspection or investigation, that an institution has violated any applicable law or regulation, the bureau shall take appropriate action pursuant to this article.
13	5. Section 94933 provides:
141516	The bureau shall provide an institution with the opportunity to remedy noncompliance, impose fines, place the institution on probation, or suspend or revoke the institution's approval to operate, in accordance with this article, as it deems appropriate based on the severity of an institution's violations of this chapter, and the harm caused to students.
17	6. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 75100 (Regulation 75100) states:
18 19	(a) The Bureau may suspend, revoke or place on probation with terms and conditions an approval to operate.
20	(b) "Material violation" as used in section 94937 of the Code includes committing any act that would be grounds for denial under section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
212223	(c) The proceedings under this section shall be conducted in accordance with Article 10 (commencing with Section 11445.10) of Chapter 4.5 or Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, as requested by the institution.
24	7. Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the
25	suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of
26	jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may
27	be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
28	///

1	first class session, or the seventh day after enrollment, whichever is later.
2	
3	(3) The text shall also include a description of the procedures that a student is
4	required to follow to cancel the enrollment agreement or withdraw from the institution and obtain a refund.
5	*
6	(h) The transferability disclosure that is required to be included in the school catalog,
7	as specified in paragraph (15) of subdivision (a) of Section 94909.
8	
9	21. Section 94912 states:
10	Prior to the execution of an enrollment agreement, the information required to be disclosed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (d), inclusive, of Section 94910 shall be
11	signed and dated by the institution and the student. Each of these items shall also be
12	initialed and dated by the student.
13	22. Section 94913 states in pertinent part:
14	(a) An institution that maintains an Internet Web site shall provide on that Internet Web site all of the following:
15	(1) The school catalog.
16	
17	(3) Student brochures offered by the institution.
18	(5) Student brochares offered by the institution.
19	(5) The institution's most recent amount submitted to the human
20	(5) The institution's most recent annual report submitted to the bureau.
21	
22	23. Section 94928 states in part:
23	As used in this article, the following terms have the following meanings:
24	•••
25	(c) "On-time graduates" means the number of students who complete a program
26	within 100 percent of the published program length. An institution may separately state completion information for students completing the program within 150 percent of the original contracted time, but that information may not
27	within 150 percent of the original contracted time, but that information may not replace completion information for students completing within the original
28	scheduled time. Completion information shall be separately stated for each campus or branch of the institution.

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	Definitions
3	28. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 70000 (Regulation 70000), provides
4	the following pertinent definitions:
5	,
6	(b) "Act" means The California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009.
7	
8 9	(r) "Objectives" are the goals and methods by which the institution fulfills its mission and transforms it into measurable student learning outcomes for each educational program.
10	Applications for a Substantive Change to an Approval to Operate
11	29. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71650 (Regulation 71650),
12	subdivision (a), provides:
13 14 15 16 17	An institution seeking to change its educational objectives shall complete the "Change in Educational Objectives" form (OBJ rev. 2/10) to obtain prior authorization. The form shall be submitted to the Bureau along with the appropriate fee as provided in Section 94930.5(c) of the Code. For an institution approved under section 94885 of the Code it shall be signed and dated by the signatory(ies) required by section 71380, and for an institution approved under section 94890 of the Code it shall be signed and dated by the signatory(ies) required by section 71390, and each fact stated therein and each attachment thereto shall be declared to be true under penalty of perjury, in the following form: "I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
19 20 21	foregoing and all attachments are true and correct (Date) (Signature)"
22	30. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71660 (Regulation 71660) states:
23 24 25 26	An institution shall notify the Bureau of a non-substantive change including: change of location of less than 10 miles; addition of a program related to the approved programs offered by the institution; addition of a new branch five miles or less from the main or branch campus; addition of a satellite; and change of mailing address. All such notifications shall be made within 30 days of the change and sent to the Bureau, in writing, to the address listed in section 70020.
27	///
28	112

1	34. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71730 (Regulation 71730), states in						
2	pertinent part:						
3	*						
4	(d) The administrative staffing at each branch location shall reflect the purposes, size,						
5	and educational operations at that location and at any satellite location for which the branch has administrative responsibilities.						
6							
7 8	(f) The institution shall employ administrative personnel who have the expertise to ensure the achievement of the institution's mission and objectives and the operation of the educational programs.						
9							
10	35. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71750 (Regulation 71750),						
11	subdivision (f) states:						
12	The institution shall maintain a cancellation and withdrawal log, kept current on a						
13	monthly basis, which shall include the names, addresses, telephone numbers, and dates of cancellations or withdrawal of all students who have cancelled the enrollment						
14	agreement with, or withdrawn from, the institution during the calendar year.						
15	Admissions and Academic Achievement Standards						
16	36. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71770 (Regulation 71770),						
17							
1 /	subdivision (a), provides;						
18	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for						
	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously						
18 19	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the						
18 19 20	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that:						
18 19 20 21	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that: (1) Each student admitted to an undergraduate degree program, or a diploma program, shall possess a high school diploma or its equivalent, or otherwise successfully take						
18 19 20 21 22	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that: (1) Each student admitted to an undergraduate degree program, or a diploma program,						
18 19 20 21 22 23	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that: (1) Each student admitted to an undergraduate degree program, or a diploma program, shall possess a high school diploma or its equivalent, or otherwise successfully take						
18	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that: (1) Each student admitted to an undergraduate degree program, or a diploma program, shall possess a high school diploma or its equivalent, or otherwise successfully take and pass the relevant examination as required by section 94904 of the Code.						
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that: (1) Each student admitted to an undergraduate degree program, or a diploma program, shall possess a high school diploma or its equivalent, or otherwise successfully take and pass the relevant examination as required by section 94904 of the Code. 37. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71800 (Regulation 71800) states: In addition to the requirements of section 94911 of the Code, an institution shall						
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The institution shall establish specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program. These standards shall be related to the particular educational program. An institution shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program. In addition to any specific standards for an educational program, the admissions standards must specify as applicable that: (1) Each student admitted to an undergraduate degree program, or a diploma program, shall possess a high school diploma or its equivalent, or otherwise successfully take and pass the relevant examination as required by section 94904 of the Code. 37. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71800 (Regulation 71800) states:						

1	be provided.
2	(b) Period covered by the enrollment agreement.
3	(c) Program start date and scheduled completion date.
4 5	(d) Date by which the student must exercise his or her right to cancel or withdraw, and the refund policy, including any alternative method of calculation if approved by the Bureau pursuant to section 94921 of the Code.
6	(e) Itemization of all institutional charges and fees including, as applicable:
7	(1) tuition;
8	(2) registration fee (non-refundable);
9	(3) equipment;
10	(4) lab supplies or kits;
11	(5) Textbooks, or other learning media;
12	(6) uniforms or other special protective clothing;
13	(7) in-resident housing;
14	(8) tutoring;
15	(9) assessment fees for transfer of credits;
16	(10) fees to transfer credits;
17	(11) Student Tuition Recovery Fund fee (non-refundable);
18	(12) any other institutional charge or fee.
19	
20	38. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71810 (Regulation 71810) states:
21	(a) Each institution shall provide a catalog pursuant to section 94909 of the Code,
22	which shall be updated annually. Annual updates may be made by the use of supplements or inserts accompanying the catalog. If changes in educational programs, educational sarvings, procedures, or policies required to be included in the catalog by
23	educational services, procedures, or policies required to be included in the catalog by statute or regulation are implemented before the issuance of the annually updated catalog, those changes shall be reflected at the time they are made in supplements or
24	inserts accompanying the catalog.
25	
26	Maintenance and Production of Records
27	39. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 71920 (Regulation 71920), states in
28	part:

ACCUSATION CASE NO. 1000873

1	Total Charges may be higher for students that do not complete on-time.										
2	Student's Initials: Date:										
3	Initial only after you have had sufficient time to read and understand the information.										
4											
5		(h) Completion Rates. Reporting of completion rates for an institution's Annual									
6	number of	Report and Performance Fact Sheet shall include, for each educational program, the number of students who began the program as defined in subdivision (d)(1) of this									
7	section, the number of students available for graduation, number of on-time graduates, and completion rate(s). An optional table may be added to include completion rate data for students completing within 150% of the published program length. For an institution reporting completion data pursuant to section 94929(b) of the Code, completion data shall be separately reported for each program and the Performance Fact Sheet shall disclose, if true, that the completion data is being reported for students completing within 150% of the published program length, and										
8											
9											
10	that data is	not being separately repor	ted for students com	pleting the prog	gram within						
11	length which	e published program lengt ch are reporting 150% Cor									
12	data.		1 2 2								
13	substantiall	Completion rates shall be included in the Performance Fact Sheet in a format substantially similar to the chart below (dates, numbers, and other data shown are for									
14	example on										
15		ompletion Rates (Graduate to reporting)	ation Rates) (includ	les data for the t	wo calendar						
16	Name of E	Name of Educational Program (Program Length)									
17		Number of	Students	Number of	On-time						
18	Calendar	Students Who		On-time	Completion						
19	Year	Began the Program	Graduation	Graduates	Rate						
20	,										
21	20XX	100	98	70	71%						
22	20XY	80	80	55	69%						
23	Students C	Completing After Publish	ad Dragram I angtl	h 1509/ Com	nlation Data						
24		ducational Program (Pro	0 0	n – 150 % Com	pietion Kate						
25	ivanie of E	Number of	Students		150%						
26	Calendar	Students Who	Available for	150%	Completion						
27	Year	Began the Program	Graduation	Graduates	Rate						
28	20XX	100	980	95	97%						

(PADMA CORPORATION DBA ROSSTON SCHOOL OF HAIR DESIGN) SECOND AMENDED

ACCUSATION
CASE NO. 1000873

1 ·		Single Position vs. Conc	ourrant Aggragatad	l Positi	one	
2		Graduates Employed	Gradates Employed		Total Graduates	
3	*	in the field in a	in the field in conce			
4	,	single position	aggregated position		In the Field	
5					1	
6	20XX	52	3	5	55	
7	20XY	19	1	2	20	
8	a la	Self-Employed/Freeland	ee Positions		1	
9		Graduates Employed who	are self-employed	Total (Graduates Employed	
10	* .	or working freelance		in the	Field	
11						
12	20XX	3		55	6 g	
13	20XY	5		20	٠	
	9	Institutional Positions				
14	employed by the institution an employer Total Graduates Employed					
15		owned by the institution, of shares ownership with the		in the l	Field	
16		shares ownership with the	This trutton		*	
17	20XX	15		55		
18	20XY	5		20		
19	Student's I	nitials:	Date:			
20	Initial onl	v after vou have had suffi	icient time to read :	– and un	derstand the information.	
21						
22						
23		Examination Passage Rate from the appropriate state a				
24	directly fro	om its graduates. If an institute to obtain the examination	tution demonstrates	that, af	ter reasonable efforts,	
25	institution	shall report the number of ize as the majority of the day	students it could not	t contac	et and note in a font	
26	examination	on passage data is not avail	able from the state a	agency a	administering the	
27		on. We were unable to colle	_			
28	Reporting of license examination passage rates for the Annual Report and the Performance Fact Sheet shall include, for each educational program: the number of					
100 - 400 - 10		L D. C. CODDOD LEVON DD.	21			

graduates in the reported year, the number of documented graduates who passed the first available examination, number of documented graduates who failed the first available examination, the number of graduates for whom data is not available. An optional column may be added to separately report licensing examination data for graduates who take and pass the exam after failing initially. The Annual Report shall also include a description of the processes for attempting to contact those students.

For licensing examinations that are not continuously administered, license examination passage rates shall be included in the Performance Fact Sheet in a format substantially similar to the chart below, (dates, numbers, and other data shown are for example only):

License Examination Passage Rates (includes data for the two calendar years prior to reporting)

Name of Educational Program (Program Length)

rame of Educational Flogram (Flogram Edigm)							
First	Date Exam	Number of	Number of	Number	Number	Passage	
Available	Results	Graduates	Graduates	Who	Who	Rate	
Exam	Announced	In ·	Taking	Passed	Failed		
Date		Calendar	Exam	Exam	Exam		
		Year					
2/1/20XX	3/15/20XX	277	80	40	40	50%	
6/1/203737	7/15/203/3/	0.77	100	7.5	2.5	7 .50./	
6/1/20XX	7/15/20XX	277	100	75	25	75%	
10/1/20XX	11/15/20XX	277	82	68	14	76%	
2/1/20XY	3/20/20XX	304	80	40	40	50%	
6/1/20XY	7/19/20XX	304	100	70	30	70%	
0/1/20X1	//19/20XX	304	100	70	30	7070	
10/1/20XY	11/19/20XX	304	92	62	30	67%	

License examination passage data is not available from the state agency administering the examination. We were unable to collect data from 32 graduates.

Student's Initials:	Date:

Initial only after you have had sufficient time to read and understand the information.

For licensing examinations that are continuously administered, license examination passage rates shall be included in the Performance Fact Sheet in a format substantially similar to the chart below, including the footnoted information below (dates, numbers, and other data shown are for example only):

License Examination Passage Rates (includes data for the two calendar years prior to reporting)

Name of Educational Program (Program Length)

Calendar	Number of	Number of	Number who	Number Who	Passage
Year	Students in	Graduates	Passed First	Failed First	Rate
	Calendar	Taking Exam	Available	Available	
	Year	C	Exam	Exam	
			22		

1	20XX	95	80	40		40		50%		
2	20XY	100	100	75		25		75%		
3	License examination passage data is not available from the state agency administering the examination. We were unable to collect data from 10 graduates.									
4	Student's Initials: Date:									
5	Initial only after you have had sufficient time to read and understand the									
6	information.									
7	(k) Salary and Wage Information.									
8		alary and Wage I								
9	Fact	94910(d) and 94929.5(a)(3) of the Code and shall be included in the Performance Fact Sheet, for each educational program, in a format substantially similar to the chart								
10	belov	v (dates, numbers	, salaries, and o	other data sh	nown are	for examp	ole only).			
11	Salary and Wage Information (includes data for the two calendar years prior to reporting)									
12	Name of Educational Program (Program Length)									
13	Annual Salary and Wages Reported by Graduates Employed in the Field									
14	Calendar	Graduates	Graduates	\$15,000 -	\$20,001	\$25,001	\$30,001	No Salary		
15	Year	Available for Employment	Employed in the Field		\$25,000		\$35,000	Information Reported		
16	20XX 20XY	100 80	the Field 70 55	5 5	40 7	6 3	3 5	16 35		
17		of sources used t			sures is a	vailable f	rom the s	chool.		
18		rt how student car		,						
19	Stude	ent's Initials:	D	oate:						
20	Initia	al only after you	have had suffi	cient time	to read a	nd under	stand the	information.		
21										
22		Documentation su								
1	an Aı	nstitution for at lea nnual Report or a	Performance F	act Sheet ar	nd shall be	e provideo	d to the B			
23	upon	request; the data	for each progra	im shall inc	lude at a i	ninimum:				
24 25	(1) the list of job classifications determined to be considered gainful employment for the educational program;									
26	progr	(2) student name am start date, sch						mpleted,		
27	9	(3) graduate's pla	ace of employn	nent and pos	sition, dat	e emplovi	ment bega	ın, date		
28	employment ended, if applicable, actual salary, hours per week, and the date employment was verified;									

- 50. On or about February 10, 2016, the Bureau conducted a field investigation of Respondent at which time students and staff were interviewed and documents were collected. In addition, documents were requested from Respondent before and after the field investigation.

 The investigation was completed on or about October 20, 2016.

 51. On or about June 5, 2018, the Bureau received additional documents from
- 51. On or about June 5, 2018, the Bureau received additional documents from Respondent, including 2017 financial statements, an updated 2017/2018 School Catalog, student files, the 2015/2016 School Performance Fact Sheet and the 2016 Annual Report. The additional documents submitted demonstrated some violations had been corrected, but also that new violations existed.
- 52. On July 27, 2018, the Bureau received an anonymous complaint that Respondent did not have instructors present during school hours, it was not providing a quality education and was not providing refunds to students who withdraw.
- 53. A review of Respondent's website on September 21, 2018, revealed that the school posted its 2016/2017 School Catalog on its website, instead of its most current School Catalog. The 2016/2017 School Catalog advertises a Barber Crossover Course (200 hours) and a Barber/Cosmetology Apprenticeship program for which Respondent had no approval. There were also inaccuracies in Respondent's 2015/2016 SPFS regarding completion rates, job placement rates, part time vs. full time employment data, license examination passage rates, salary and wage information, among other things.
- 54. Although Respondent requested the Barber Crossover program be eliminated from its approved programs, Respondent continued to advertise and offer the program to students currently enrolling in the school. The 2015/2016 SPFS indicates the cost of the Barber Crossover program in 2016 was \$5950. According to the 2016 Annual Report and School Catalog, the cost for the Barber Crossover program is \$1500.
- 55. L.F., a Bureau investigator conducted an investigation of the school at its new location in Ontario on September 26, 2018. Respondent changed its location from Moreno Valley to Ontario, California. Respondent's former Administrative Assistant employed the

Moreno Valley campus, destroyed all records of attendance for students who attended at that location.

- 56. During the visit on September 26, 2018, L.F. requested to speak with a school administrator. She was directed to V.M. V.M. had been employed by Respondent for about nine months and had no previous experience managing a school. V.M. works part-time for the school and when she is not at the school, the instructors handle some of the administrative tasks.
- 57. While at the school, L.F. observed a flyer for a Barber/Cosmetologist Apprenticeship program. V.M. did not have a curriculum for the apprenticeship program but explained that Respondent charges \$4,000 for the apprenticeship program and that the prospective student is responsible for finding a licensed barber or cosmetologist to "sponsor" them and the student then attends the school for the 39 hours of prerequisites. Respondent does not have an approval to advertise or offer this program.
- 58. At approximately 12:48 p.m. on September 27, 2018, L.F. visited the school with representatives of the Board of Barbering and Cosmetology (BBC). At the time of their visit, two students were performing services on consumers without the supervision of an instructor. One of the students was using a straight razor to provide a shave to the consumer. At 1:35 p.m., no instructors had checked on the students who were providing services. Ten other students were present on campus at the time. Some of the students were studying their textbooks, while others were engaged in conversation with each other. The only instructor present was eating lunch in the back of the campus. No direct instruction was taking place.
- 59. Interviews on campus revealed the students' progress in the program regarding the number of theory hours and/or practical instruction was not documented. On the other hand, each time a student provides a service, receipts of payment for services were recorded. In addition, students who were in different stages of participation in the program were all in one class because of the limited number of classes and instructors. Respondent's staff advised L.F. that students come and go frequently and staff did not know how many students were currently enrolled in the school. None of the students interviewed had been provided with the 2018 BBC Law and Regulations, required for the curriculum and listed as required text in Respondent's 2018/2019

School Catalog. Respondent was not providing instruction in the Health and Safety curriculum provided to schools by BBC, another required curriculum.

- 60. While on site, L.F. requested a copy of the Cancellation/Withdrawal log. Respondent did not have such a log. When a student wants to withdraw from the school, the student is asked to explain the reason for withdrawal and Respondent's staff will calculate what the student owes the school.
- 61. While on site, L.F. also requested to review student files for active students, graduated students and withdrawn students. Student files were stored in bankers boxes in the administrative office. L.F.'s review of the student files revealed deficiencies in Respondent's maintenance of records.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Change in Educational Objectives Without Prior Bureau Authorization)

- 62. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Section 94893 and 94894(g) and Regulations 70000(r) and 71650(a), in that Respondent made a substantive change to its approval and/or changed its educational objectives without obtaining prior Bureau authorization. The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. On or about February 10, 2016, a Bureau investigator observed video tutorials being provided in Spanish. Respondent's staff confirmed that some of their students speak Spanish, and Respondent sometimes provides instruction in Spanish. The investigator also found a flier written in Spanish that advertised Respondent's Barbering course.
- b. As of October 20, 2016, Respondent's educational objectives did not include offering instruction in Spanish, and Respondent did not apply to the Bureau for approval to change its educational objectives prior to advertising in Spanish and offering courses taught in Spanish.
- c. Respondent did not apply to the Bureau for approval to offer instruction in Spanish, which is a substantive to change to Respondent's approval to operate.
- d. Respondent advertises and offers a Barber/Cosmetology Λpprenticeship program prior to receiving approval from the Bureau to offer the program.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify Bureau of Non-Substantive Change)

- 63. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulation 71660, in that Respondent failed to notify the Bureau within 30 days of a making non-substantive change to its program offerings. The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. In its 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 School Catalogs, Respondent offered a Barber Crossover course that is 200 hours. In addition, Respondent had active enrollment agreements with students for the 200-hour Barber Crossover program. Respondent was only approved for a Barber Crossover course that is 400 hours and did not notify the Bureau it was adding a related 200-hour program within 30 days of changing the number of hours.
- b. On July 26, 2018, Respondent removed the Barber Crossover program from the BPPE approved programs but as of September 21, 2018, continued to advertise and offer the Barber Crossover program.
- c. Respondent advertises and offers a Refresher Course for \$300, which includes 5 hours of instruction and requires a separate application process, prior to notifying the Bureau of a change to its program offerings.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Requirements for Enrollment Agreements)

- 64. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 94899.5(b), 94902, 94906, 94909, and 94911 and Regulation 71800, in that Respondent did not meet the minimum requirements under the Act and Regulations for its enrollment agreements. The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. Respondent's enrollment agreement with W.S. started July 15, 2015 and was completed September 15, 2015. However, in her Barber Application for Examination and Initial License Fee form, W.S. stated she attended training with Respondent from July 15, 2015 to November 20, 2015. The enrollment did not cover the dates of instruction, as required by Regulation 71800(b). Likewise, the enrollment agreements of M.T. failed to identify the period covered by the Enrollment Agreement as required by Regulation 71800(b).

fee is not disclosed in the 2017/2018 School Catalog. The form also contained the following language:

"If a student exceeds the time frame outlined in the Agreement, an extra time charge is required for the balance of hours required and/or the completion of the course. ... The current rate is \$5.00/hour."

This fee was required to be disclosed in the enrollment agreement and in the 2017/2018 School Catalog, but was not in either document, which is a violation of 94911(b) and Regulation 71800(e).

- The 2018/2019 School Catalog stated tuition was \$4,719, registration fees were \$88.00, book fees were \$175 and tool fees were \$575.00. The School Catalog stated total charges were \$5950. N.P. was charged \$5,192.33 in tuition, \$100 for registration fees, \$267.05 for book fees, and \$940.62 for tool fees. N.P. paid total charges of \$6,500.
- k. Students N.P., M.T., A.G., D.M., A.T. and L.C. were charged fees that were not itemized in the enrollment agreements, which is a violation of Regulation 71800(e)(12):
 - N.P.'s student file also contained a piece of paper that stated there was A) also a \$35 service fee and a \$25 collection fee that were not included in the itemized fees in the enrollment agreement.
 - The student files of M.T., A.G., D.M., and L.C. contained a piece of B) paper that stated there was a \$5 per hour charge for going over the length of the contracted completion date, a \$35 service fee and a \$25 collection that that were not in the itemized fees in the enrollment agreement.
 - C) The student file of A.T. contained an untitled document that included a statement that returned checks are subject to a \$35 service charge and that unpaid balances will be subject to a \$25 per month collection fee. The EA signed by A.T. stated that returned checks are subject to a \$15 charge. The 2017/2018 School Catalog stated that returned checks are subject to a \$15 charge. Neither A.T.'s enrollment agreement nor in the 2017/2018 School Catalog disclosed the \$25 collection fee as required by Regulation 71800(e). 33

- 1. The enrollment agreements of N.P., D.M., A.T., L.C. and T.C. did not accurately identify the charges for a period of attendance, a violation of Code section 94911(c).
- m. The enrollment agreements of E.M. M.T., D.M., R.C., A.T., L.C., J.G. and T.C. failed to contain program information in the disclosures regarding transferability of credits, a violation of section 94909(a)(15).
- n. The enrollment agreements of A.T., J.G. and T.C. included a line item for "Charges due upon enrollment" that reflected the total charges for the program, a violation of Code section 94899.5(b).
- o. The enrollment agreements of A.T. and T.C. failed to accurately state the address of the school and the address where instructions will be provided and where to give notice of cancellation, and T.C.'s enrollment agreement stated T.C. must exercise his/her right to cancel three days before the start of the first class section, violations of Regulation 71800(a) and Code section 94911(e).
- p. The enrollment agreements of A.T., J.G. and T.C. contained a "Graduation Requirements" disclosure that the Barber Crossover program required 400 hours of instruction. However, the 2017/2018 School Catalog advertised a 200 hour Barber Crossover program. This is a violation of Code section 94911(a).

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with General Enrollment Requirements)

- 65. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulation 71770(a), in that Respondent admitted students who did not comply with the program standards. The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. The student file for M.F., who was enrolled in the Barber Crossover program, did not contain a document showing admission qualifications for the program, such as a transcript showing previous education, proof of training document, or an active cosmetologist license.
- b. Several student files, such as the files of A.P. and D.M., did not contain copies of a high school diploma or its equivalency or proof of an examination meeting the requirements of Education Code section 94904.

c. The student file for L.C. did not contain proof of hours completed at a different school that were applied towards the completion of the program at Respondent school, which would have demonstrated that the student was qualified for admission to the program.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Requirements For School Catalog)

- 66. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Section 94909(a) and Regulation 71810, in that Respondent's 2015/2016 School Catalog did not contain all of the required information, and Respondent did not provide every student with a school catalog before having them sign an enrollment agreement. The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. Students E.T. and M.M said they were not provided a catalog prior to signing an enrollment agreement, as required by Section 71810(a) and Section 94909(a).
- b. Respondent's 2015/2016 School Catalog did not contain program information for the Barber Instructor course offered by Respondent, which is a violation of Section 94909(a)(5). The catalog also contains conflicting information about the length of Respondent's programs. On page 10, the Barbering course is listed as taking 39.5 weeks, but on page 16, the course is listed as taking 36 weeks. The Barber Crossover course is listed as a 200-hour program, but the curriculum includes 350 hours of required study. The 2017/2018 School Catalog states the Barber Crossover program is 200 hours, but the curriculum includes 215 hours of theory instruction and 125 hours of practical operations.
- c. Respondent's 2015/2016 School Catalog did not contain a schedule for total charges for a period of attendance and an estimated schedule of total charges for the entire educational program, as required by Section 94909(a)(9).
- d. Respondent's 2018/2019 School Catalog does not contain information regarding the Barber/Cosmetology Apprenticeship Program that Respondent currently offers and advertises, as required by Code section 94909(a)(5).

///

28 | /

- e. Respondent's 2018/2019 School Catalog does not include sufficient information regarding the faculty and their qualifications, as required by Code section 94909(a)(7).
- f. Respondent's 2018/2019 School Catalog does not set forth the correct amount in total charges, as required by Code section 94909(a)(9) in that the school is charging total charges of \$6,500 but the 2018/2019 School Catalog states total charges of \$5950.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Required Student and Institutional Records)

- 67. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 94900(b), and 94900.5(a) and (b), and Regulations 71920 and 71930, in that Respondent failed to maintain the records required under the Act and Regulations. The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. Respondent does not maintain records of previous education which would qualify a student for enrollment in the Barber Crossover program, including proof of training documents, evidence of licensure, or transcripts from previous institutions attended, which is required for admission to the Barber Crossover program. This is a violation of Regulation 71920(b)(1).
- b. Respondent does not maintain proof of high school graduation or its equivalency for its enrolled students, which is required by Regulation 71920(b)(1)(A).
- c. Respondent does not maintain records of the dates of cancellation or withdrawal by students, including paperwork showing funds received and possible refund that would be required. This is a violation of Regulation 71920(b)(4).
- A) For example, the student file for E.M., a graduated student, did not contain documentation of the dates of attendance, withdrawal, or completion. Because Respondent lost all records of attendance for students who attended its previous location in Moreno Valley, Respondent was only able to provide proof of 817.24 hours of E.M.'s attendance.
- d. Respondent does not maintain transcripts for students that have graduated, which is required by Regulations 71920(b)(5)(A) and 71930(b)(1) and Section 94900(b).

28

- Respondent does not maintain information regarding placement rates for its e. students that have graduated, which is required by Sections 94910 and 94929.5. The failure to maintain records required under the Act is a violation of Regulation 71930(a) and Section 94900.5(c).
- f. Respondent does not maintain student files in a manner that is secure from damage or loss and does not maintain a second set of the files, which is a violation of Regulation 71930(d).
- During the investigation by the Bureau on February 10, 2016 and/or September g. 26, 2018, Respondent was unable to provide copies of its faculty list, faculty files, financial statements, and Respondent's current SPFS, which are records required to be maintained under the Act and made immediately available to the Bureau during normal business hours. This is a violation of Regulation 71930(a) and (e), and Section 94900.5(b).
- h. Respondent's files for students E.D. and W.S. did not contain graduation certificates or transcripts showing the courses taken or grades earned by the students, which information is required to be maintained for graduates under Section 94900(b)(1), (2), and (3).
- i. Respondent's file for L.C. did not contain proof of hours completed at a different school that were applied towards the completion of the program at Respondent school, which would have demonstrated that the student was qualified for admission to the program, in violation of Regulation 71920(b)(1)(A).
- į. Respondent offers the Barber/Cosmetology Apprenticeship program but does maintain a record of the curriculum for the program in violation of Code section 94900.5(a).
- k. Respondent's file for N.P. does not contain a document specifying the amount of refund given to N.P. that includes the method of calculating the refund, in violation of Regulation 71920(b)(10).

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain a Cancellation and Withdrawal Log)

Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulations 71750(f) and 71920(b)(1) and (4), in that Respondent failed to maintain a withdrawal log, kept on

a monthly basis, which includes the names, addresses, telephone numbers, and dates of cancellations or withdrawal of all students who have cancelled the enrollment agreement with, or withdrawn from, Respondent school during the calendar year.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Requirements for Student Performance Fact Sheet)

- 69. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 94910(a)-(d), 94912, 94929(a), 94929.5 and 94929.7 and Regulation 74112 in that Respondent's Student Performance Fact Sheets (SPFSs) do not contain the required information as follows:
- a. The 2014 SPFS did not include information for the Barber Instructor Training program, although the program was still being offered during that time period. This is a violation of Sections 94910(a)-(d) and 94929.5(a) and Regulation 74112(e)(1) [formerly 74112(c)(1)].
- b. The 2014 SPFS did not include data for the previous two calendar years, as required by Section 94929.5(a)(2) and Regulation 74112(e)(2) [formerly 74112(c)(2)].
- c. The license examination passage rates in the 2014 SPFS and the 2015/2016 Barber SPFS and 2015/2016 Barber/Crossover SPFS did not match the results reported by the Board of Barbering and Cosmetology for the exams. Accordingly, Respondent did not report license examination passage rates calculated pursuant to Article 16 of the Act, which is a violation of Section 94910(c).
- d. The 2014 SPFS did not include Placement Rate information, as required by Section 94910(b) and Regulation 74112(i) [formerly 74112(e)].
- e. Respondent does not maintain backup documentation for its 2014 SPFS that meets the requirements under Regulation 74112(m) [formerly 74112(h)]. The SPFS backup documentation did not include exam passage information, place of employment, position, salary, hours, a description of all attempts to contact each student, as well as the name, email address, phone number, and position or title of the institution's representative who is primarily responsible for obtaining students' completion, placement, licensing, and salary and wage data. The documentation also does not include the date the information was gathered, copies of notes, emails, or letters through which the information was gathered.

- f. The backup documentation for the 2013/2014 SPFS did not match the data reported in the 2013/2014 SPFS, which is a violation of Section 94910(a)-(d).
- g. Respondent enrolled students without first providing them a SPFS, which is a violation of Sections 94902, 94910, and 94912.
- h. Respondent did not document and maintain all of the information necessary to substantiate the performance data reported in its 2014 SPFS, which is a violation of Section 94929.7.
- i. The 2015/2016 Barber SPFS does not accurately report completion rates, in violation of Code sections 94910(a) and Regulation 74112(h) [formerly 74112(e)(4)], as follows:
 - A) The 2015/2016 Barber program SPFS table indicates a 100% completion for students who were eligible to graduate, but Respondent erroneously calculated the on-time completion rate and reported a 68% completion rate for 2015 and 86% for 2016, which is an untrue statement.
 - B) Similarly, the 2015/2016 Barber Crossover SPFS does not accurately report completion rates. Respondent erroneously calculated the on-time completion and reported 50% completion for students who were eligible to graduate for 2016, when three of three students eligible for graduation reportedly graduated.
- j. The 2015/2016 Barber SPFS did not accurately report Job Placement Rates, in violation of Code sections 94910(b), 94929.5(a) and Regulation 74112(i), as follows:
 - A) The table of Job Placement Rates lists zero graduates employed in the field for 2015 and 2016, but the table of "Gainfully Employed" listed between 3 and 37 graduates employed in the field.
 - B) The table of Part Time vs. Full Time Employment stated that in 2015 there were 20 graduates employed part time, and 17 graduates employed full time, but stated the total graduates employed in the field is 17. The table stated that in 2016, six graduates were employed part time and three graduates were employed full time, for a total of three graduates.

- C) The table of Single Position vs. Concurrent Aggregated Position stated that in 2015, 20 graduates were employed part time, and 17 graduates were employed in Concurrent Aggregated Positions, but stated the total graduates employed in the field was 17. The table stated that in 2016, six graduates were employed part time and three graduates were employed in Concurrent Aggregated Positions, but stated there was a total of three graduates employed in the field.
- D) The table of Self Employed/Freelance stated that in 2015, there were 20 graduates reporting as Self Employed, but stated there was a total of 17 graduates employed in the field. The table for 2016 stated six graduates reported being self employed position, but stated there was a total of three graduates employed in the field.
- E) The table of Institutional Employment stated that in 2015, 20 graduates were employed by the institution, but stated there was a total of 17 graduates employed in the field. The table for 2016 listed 6 graduates were employed by the institution, but stated there was a total of 3 graduates employed in the field.
- l. The 2015/2016 Barber Crossover SPFS does not accurately report Job Placement Rates, in violation of Code sections 94910(b), 94929.5(a)(1) and Regulation 74112(i), as follows:
- A) The table of Job Placement Rates listed zero graduates employed in the field for 2015 and 2016, but the table of "Gainfully Employed" listed between 3 and 37 graduates employed in the field. However, only 26 students were enrolled in the program in 2015 and 2016.
- B) The table of Part Time vs. Full Time Employment stated that in 2015 there were 20 graduates employed part time, and 17 graduates employed full time, but stated the total graduates employed in the field was 17. The table stated that in 2016, six graduates were employed part time and three graduates were employed full time, but stated there was a total of three graduates employed in the field.
- C) The table of Single Position vs. Concurrent Aggregated Position stated that in 2015, 20 graduates were employed part time, and 17 graduates were employed in

Concurrent Aggregated Positions, but stated the total graduates employed in the field was 17. The table stated that in 2016, six graduates were employed part time and three graduates were employed in Concurrent Aggregated Positions, but stated there was a total of three graduates employed in the field.

- D) The table of Self Employed/Freelance stated that in 2015, there were 20 graduates reporting as Self Employed, but stated there was only a total of 17 graduates employed in the field. The table for 2016 stated six graduates reported being self employed position, but stated there was a total of three graduates employed in the field.
- E) The table of Institutional Employment stated that in 2015, 20 graduates were employed by the institution, but stated there was a total of 17 graduates employed in the field. The table for 2016 listed 6 graduates were employed by the institution, but stated there was a total of 3 graduates employed in the field.
- m. The 2015/2016 Barber SPFS does not accurately report License Examination Passage Rates, in violation of Code sections 94910(c), 94929.5(a)(2) and Regulation 74112(j) [formerly 74112(e)(2). The 2015/2016 License Exam Passage Rates table was blank and did not include any information about graduates who took the license examination. However, a report from BBC showed that Respondent had 92 tests taken in 2015 and 109 tests taken in 2016.
- n. The 2015/2016 Barber Crossover SPFS does not accurately report License Examination Passage Rates, in violation of Code sections 94910(c), 94929.5(a)(2) and Regulation 74112(j), as follows:
- A) The 2015/2016 License Exam Passage Rates table did not include any dates for reporting.
- B) The 2015/2016 License Exam Passage Rates table stated that there were 20 graduates in the reporting calendar year, which is inconsistent with the Completion Rates table, which reported 17 total graduates for the reporting calendar year.
- C) The 2015/2016 License Exam Passage Rates table stated that there were six graduates in the next reporting calendar year, which is inconsistent with the Completion Rates table, which reported three total graduates for that reporting calendar year.

- D) The 2015/2016 License Exam Passage Rates table stated that in the first report year, presumably 2015, 17 graduates took and passed the exam for a 100% passage rate. A report from BBC showed that Respondent had 92 written tests taken in 2015 and that 49 of them failed, which is a total passage rate of 53%.
- E) The 2015/2016 License Exam Passage Rates table stated that in the second reporting year, presumably 2016, three graduates took and passed the exam for a 100% passage rate. A report from BBC showed that Respondent had 109 written tests taken in 2016 and that 68 of them failed, for a passage rate of 38%.
- o. The 2015/2016 Barber SPFS and the Barber Crossover SPFS did not accurately report Salary and Wage Information, in violation of Code sections 94910(d), 94929.5(a)(3) and Regulations 74112 (e)(2) and 74112(k) [formerly 74112(g)], as follows:
- A) Respondent failed to report any salary and wage information for the two reporting calendar years in the Barber and Barber Crossover SPFS, nor did Respondent state that it could not collect the information from graduates employed in the field. Respondent represented it had between 3 and 37 graduates according to the Job Placement sections.
- p. Respondent reported it offered three programs in its 2015 Annual Report, but only provided completion rates, job placement rates, license exam passage rates and salary and wage information for one program, in violation of Code sections 94929(a), 94929.5(a)(1)-(3) and 74112(e)(1). Respondent failed to provide information about the Barber Crossover (400 hours) program and Barber Instructor (600 hour) program for the 2015 reporting year.
- q. Respondent failed to identify the United States Department of Labor's Standard Occupational Classification codes for the programs for which Respondent identified it prepares its graduates in its catalog and in its employment positions list, in violation of Regulation 74112(d)(3)(A)(i).
- r. Respondent inaccurately reported the cost of the Barber and Barber Crossover programs, in violation of Regulation 74112(f) in that the 2015/2016 SPFS lists that the cost of each program in 2016 was \$5950. However, \$5,950 was the cost for the 1500-hour Barber

program. The cost for the Barber Crossover program as reported in the school catalog and 2016 Annual Report was \$1,500.

s. The 2015/2016 Barber and Barber Crossover SPFSs did not include the required disclosure regarding the "STUDENT'S RIGHT TO CANCEL" on a separate document, as required by Regulation 74112(n).

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Annual Reporting Requirements)

- 70. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 94929 and 94934, and Regulations 74110(a), (b) and (d) and 74112(d) (f), in that Respondent's Annual Reports for 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016, did not contain all of the information required as follows:
- a. Respondent's 2014 Annual Report did not include information for all of the educational programs offered in the prior calendar year, which is a violation of Section 94934(a) and Regulation 74110(a).
- b. Respondent's 2014 Annual Report contained data that did not match the SPFS or SPFS backup documentation.
- c. Respondent did not accurately report the completion rate in its 2014 Annual Report, which is a violation of Section 94929(a) and Regulation 74112(d).
- d. Respondent did not accurately report placement and license examination passage rates in its 2013 and 2014 Annual Reports, which is a violation of section 94929.5(a) and Regulation 74112(f). The numbers reported in the 2014 Annual Report did not match the numbers reported in the 2014 SPFS.
- e. Respondent did not accurately report the license examination passage rates in its 2013 Annual Report, which is a violation of Section 94929.5(a)(2) and Regulation 74112(e) and (f).
- f. Respondent did not document and maintain all of the information necessary to substantiate the performance data reported in its 2013 and 2014 Annual Report, which is a violation of Section 94929.7.

///

///

Barber Instructor (600 hour) course.

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Student Tuition Recovery Fund Requirements)

- 71. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulations 76120(a), 76130(b) and (c), and 76140(a), in that Respondent did not comply with the requirements for the Student Tuition Recovery Fund (STRF). The circumstances of this conduct are as follows:
- a. Respondent's 2015/2016 School Catalog listed the incorrect amount for STRF charges.
- b. Respondent charged students D.D., L.B., W.S., A.T., J.G. and T.C. STRF fees, but they were enrolled after January 1, 2015, and were therefore required to pay a \$0.00 STRF Fee per \$1,000 of tuition.
- c. Respondent did not submit the STRF Fees assessed to students D.D., L.B., and W.S. to the Bureau.
- d. Respondent did not maintain all records required for STRF reporting, including student identification number, courses and course cost, amount of STRF collected, quarter in which STRF assessment was submitted to the Bureau, third party payer identifying information, total institutional charges charged and total institutional charges paid.
- e. Respondent's 2017/2018 School Catalog lists a STRF Assessment Fee of \$.50 per \$1000 of tuition, which is higher than the current fee rate of \$0.00 per \$1000 of tuition.
- f. Respondent's 2018/2019 School Catalog lists a STRF Assessment Fee of \$.50 per \$1000 of tuition, which is higher than the current fee rate of \$0.00 per \$1000 of tuition.
- g. Respondent provided its 2016 3rd Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting form with other documents in June, 2018, but failed to timely submit the form to the Bureau.
- h. Respondent's 2018 1st Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form contained the following:
- A) Respondent reported in Line D that there were 14 students who signed enrollment agreements in prior reporting periods and from whom the students' first STRF

payments were collected, however, previous reporting forms did not account for any such students, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(b)(3).

- B) Respondent failed to report the tuition charges, rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as \$99,700, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- i. Respondent's 2017 4th Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form contained the following:
- A) Respondent reported in Line D that there were 13 students who signed enrollment agreements in prior reporting periods and from whom the students' first STRF payments were collected, however, previous reporting forms did not account for any such students, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(b)(3).
- B) Respondent failed to report the tuition charges, rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as \$56,600, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- j. Respondent's 2017 2nd Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form contained the following:
- A) Respondent reported that zero of the 21 students were eligible for STRF, however, all enrolled students residing in California or enrolled in a residency program are eligible for STRF, a violation of Reguation 76120(a).
- B) Respondent reported in Line D that there were 13 students who signed enrollment agreements in prior reporting periods and from whom the students' first STRF payments were collected, however, previous reporting forms did not account for any such students, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(b)(3).
- C) Respondent failed to report the tuition charges, rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as \$84,900, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- k. Respondent's 2017 1st Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form contained the following:
- A) Respondent reported that zero of the 13 students were eligible for STRF, however, all enrolled students residing in California or enrolled in a residency program are eligible for STRF, a violation of Regulation 76120(a).

- B) Respondent reported in Line D that there were 13 students who signed enrollment agreements in prior reporting periods and from whom the students' first STRF payments were collected, however, previous reporting forms did not account for any such students, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(b)(3).
- C) Respondent failed to report the tuition charges, rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as \$110,200, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- l. Respondent's 2016 4th Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form contained the following:
- A) Respondent reported that zero of the 13 students were eligible for STRF, however, all enrolled students residing in California or enrolled in a residency program are eligible for STRF, a violation of Reguation 76120(a).
- B) Respondent reported in Line D that there were 27 students who signed enrollment agreements in prior reporting periods and from whom the students' first STRF payments were collected, however, previous reporting forms did not account for any such students, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(b)(3).
- C) Respondent failed to report the tuition charges, rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as \$165,600, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- m. Respondent's 2016 2nd Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form reported the tuition charges as \$102,700, instead of rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as required by Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- n. Respondent's 2016 1st Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form reported the tuition charges as \$199,450, instead of rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as required by Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- o. Respondent's 2015 3rd Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form reported in Line D that there were 12 students who signed enrollment agreements in prior reporting periods and from whom the students' first STRF payments were collected, however, previous reporting forms did not account for any such students, which is a violation of Regulation 76130(b)(3).

- Respondent's 2015 2nd Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form reported the tuition charges as \$59,400 instead of rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as required by Regulation 76130(c)(5).
- Respondent's 2015 1st Quarter STRF Assessment Reporting Form reported the q. tuition charges as \$116,850 instead of rounded to the nearest \$1000 for each student, as required by Regulation 76130(c)(5).

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prohibited Business Practices)

- 72. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Section 94897 for engaging in prohibited business practices as follows:
- a. Respondent made inaccurate and misleading statements in advertisements and/or in documents required by the Bureau in violation section 94897(c), (j) and/or (j)(3):
 - Respondent advertised an inaccurate length of time for the Barber A) Crossover program. The Barber Crossover program is described as a 200 clock hour program but it includes 215 hours of theoretical instruction and 125 hours of practical operations. It is not possible for a student to complete all listed curriculum components in the time allotted for the program. This is a violation of section 94897(c) and (j)(3).
- B) Respondent made false or misleading statements regarding the total number of clock hours of instruction provided for graduates because Respondent included lunch hours as educational time. This is a violation of section 94897(j).
- C) Respondent made false or misleading statements in the information reported on the 2015/2016 SPFS regarding the Barber program, such as the completion rates, job placement rates, license exam passage rates and salary and wage information. This is a violation of section 94897(j)(3).
- D) Respondent made false or misleading statements in the information reported in the 2015 Annual Report regarding the number of students enrolled in a program on time graduates, job placement rates, and license exam passage rates. This is a violation of section 94897(j)(3). 48

- E) Respondent made false or misleading statements in the information reported in the 2016 Annual Report regarding the number of students enrolled in a diploma or certificate program, the types of programs Respondent offered, the number of students available for graduation from the Barber and Barber Crossover programs, the total number of graduates employed in the field for the Barber and Barber Crossover programs, and the license exam passage rates for the Barber and Barber Crossover programs. This is a violation of section 94897(j)(3).
- F) Respondent made false or misleading statements regarding the language in which instruction will be provided in that the 2018/2019 School Catalog indicated that instruction is only provided in English when Respondent has approval to offer their Barber program in both English and Spanish. This is a violation of section 94897(j)(3).
- b. Respondent failed to refrain from directing any individual to report unlawful conduct to the bureau or another government agency in violation of section 94897(m) in that the school's grievance policy as set forth in the 2018/2019 School Catalog requires the student to exhaust the internal grievance procedure before they can report any issues to an outside entity.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Operating Standards – Educational Program)

- 73. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulation 71710(a) and (b) for failure to meet minimum operating standards with respect to its educational program as follows:
- a. Respondent was not providing instruction in subject areas that are necessary to meet the educational objectives of the Barbering program in that Respondent was not providing instruction of the BBC's Laws and Regulations or from BBC's Health and Safety course and handbook, which are required curriculum components for the Barbering program.
- b. Respondent did not have a curriculum for the Barber/Cosmetology Apprenticeship program, which is currently being offering to the public.

c. Respondent does not present subject areas and/or courses in a logically organized manner or sequence to students in that students in different levels of study are in the same class with the same instructor at one time such that certain subject areas are repeated for the newer students and some subjects are more advanced for these students.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Operating Standards - Instruction)

- 74. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulation 71715(a) and (b) for failure to meet minimum operating standards in that Respondent failed to make instruction the central focus of the resources and services of the institution as follows:
 - a. There is insufficient faculty to support the students and programs being offered.
- b. There is a lack of organization in classroom time and presentation of curriculum.
- c. Respondent failed to document that the instruction offered leads to the achievement of the learning objectives of the course in that Respondent failed to record the progress of students in the educational program.
- d. Respondent failed to have an instructor present while students were engaged in the educational program. Students were in conversation with each other, two were servicing consumers, and others were reading textbooks while the only instructor on site was on her lunch break.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Operating Standards - Faculty)

- 75. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulation 71720(b)(1) for failure to meet minimum operating standards in that Respondent failed to provide documentation that it employs instructors who possess the academic, experiential and professional qualifications to teach. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. During L.F.'s site investigation on September 26, 2018, Respondent was unable to provide the faculty files for L.B. and P.R.M., two current faculty members.

b. Respondent failed to have a sufficient number of faculty to support its educational programs. During L.F.'s site investigation on September 26, 2018, students in the Barber program and Barber/Crossover program were in the same classroom for instruction although the curriculum for these courses are not identical. Instructors are not capable of supporting the needs of the currently enrolled students and are also required to perform administrative tasks while also being the only instructor present for students.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Operating Standards – Administration)

- 76. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Regulation 71730(d) and (f) for failure to meet minimum operating standards in that Respondent failed to have sufficient administrative staffing who have the expertise to ensure the achievement of the institution's mission and objectives and the operation of the educational program. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. Respondent's instructors are also responsible for performing administrative tasks while they are also the only instructor available for students.
- b. Respondent was not able to demonstrate that it employs administrative personnel with sufficient expertise to ensure the achievement of the institution's mission and objectives and the operation of the educational program.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Merging Classes, Converting Method of Delivery and Changing Locations)

- 77. Respondent's approval to operate is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 94898(a), (b)(2) and (d)(1)-(d)(4) in that:
- a. Respondent merged the Barber and Barber Crossover students in the same classroom, where the students are of different levels of study.
- b. Respondent changed its location from Moreno Valley to Ontario, a distance in excess of 32 miles, without the consent of enrolled students. The enrolled students were required to attend at the new location in Ontario. Evening classes were added to accommodate the students who could not travel to the Ontario location during the during the day. However,

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	DATED: 11/5/18
4	DR. MICHAEL MARION, JR.
5	Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	State of California Complainant
7	SD2017704429
8	71640721.docx
9	
10	•
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	53